

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,158	08/25/2003	Kenneth MC Cheung	V0690.0008/P008	3550	
Charles E. M	7590 02/06/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 41st Floor 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2714			SHAFFER, R	SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
	*				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/06/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				M
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/648,158	CHEUNG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Richard R. Shaffer	3733	
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perion - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- sectived by the Office later than three months after the maili- ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 201	November 2006		
•=		is action is non-final.	•	•
,	ce this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
•	ed in accordance with the practice under	•	<u>-</u>	mento io
Disposition o	of Claims	·		
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>20-22,28,29 and 32-45</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.	•	
•	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	-		
·	m(s) is/are allowed.			
· <u></u>	m(s) <u>20-22,28,29 and 32-45</u> is/are rejecte	ed		
•	m(s) is/are objected to.		•	
•	m(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
, =	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Application F				
• —	specification is objected to by the Examin		to the French on	
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		•	
• •	licant may not request that any objection to the	= · · ·		
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•		
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
•	ll b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority arider 65 5.5.5.	3 110(a) (a) 01 (1).	
م المارة 1. ا		ats have been received		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Annlication No.	
2				Stogo
3	- ' '		n received in this ivational	Stage
* 0 +	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received	
See t	he attached detailed Office action for a lis	a or the certilled copies no	t received.	
	•			
Attachment(s)	,			
	References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20-22, 28, 29 and 32-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanders et al (US Patent 5,290,289).

Sanders et al disclose a method for correcting spinal deformities comprising: providing a correction force with a Nitinol (a material with superelastic, pseudoelastic, and shape memory properties) rod (Column 5, Lines 50-65), which can apply some correction during surgery. The rod is first pre-contoured to assume normal kyphosis and lordosis (Column 5, Lines 50-55) and then deformed to the conform to the spinal deformities. The rod is capable of having the force adjusted by remotely heating the rod with a radio frequency induction heater (Column 7, Lines 55-60). The heating allows for individual adjustment of the rod sections for corrective force. In regard to claims 28 and 29, anterior and posterior can relate to any direction as broadly recited, and when considering Figure 2, it is clear that the rod does apply force to a side of the vertebra.

Art Unit: 3733

Anchor members (bone clamps and blockers; Column 3, Line 5 through Column 4, Line 11) are utilized to limit the rod from rotational movement and are made of Nitinol.

Claims 20-22, 28, 29 and 32-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cool et al (European Patent Application 0 470 660 A1).

Cool et al disclose a method for correcting spinal deformities comprising: providing a correction force with a Ti-Ni (1:1 ratio so it is Nitinol) alloy rod (Column 4, Lines 54-55) having various cross-sections (i.e. square, rectangular) and various transition temperatures which can adjust the force applied; a force is present operatively by the inherent process of placing the spine and device in alignment; the prolonged corrective force is activated by the body's own heat (Column 1, Line 1 through Column 2, Line 26) which would activate during the procedure. The rod is pre-formed to match the spinal rod (Column 3, Lines 27-59) and deformed to conform to the spinal deformity (Column 3, Lines 20-26) in order to be fixed by anchors (6), which act to limit the correction device from rotational movement. Again, in regard to claims 28 and 29, anterior and posterior can relate to any direction as broadly recited.

Claims 20-22, 28, 29, and 32-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drewry et al (US Patent 6,783,527).

Drewry et al disclose a method for correcting spinal deformities comprising: providing a correction force through the use of tethers (80) made of Nitinol (Column 3, Lines 60-65) which is inherently activated by heat; the forces activated during surgery and are adjusted/set by tensioning the tethers (80) by the surgeon. The tethers (80) are anchored by elements (30 and 50) that are formed of Nitinol (Column 4, Line 66

Art Unit: 3733

through Column 5, Line 6) which limit rotational movement of the tether. The overall device can be placed anteriorly or posteriorly (Column 3, Lines 48-55). The device is deformed to conformed to the spinal deformities (inherent due to it being tensioned and anchored to the vertebrae).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 20th, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants assert that Sanders et al doe not provide a correction force by a superelastic material. Because Nitinol exhibits superelasticity, pseudoelasticity (transformational superelasticity), and shape memory, it is clearly a "superelastic material." In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., applicant's device not requiring heating) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In regard to Sanders et al supposedly not disclosing a "constant force," again the limitation is not found in the claim, however applicant does use "maintain the correction force." Sanders et al clearly maintain a correction force once it has been deemed proper by the physician. It does not matter if the physician gradually increases the force until the "correction force" is achieved and "maintained."

In regard to applicant's arguments to Cool et al, as explained, Nitinol is material with superelastic properties. Further, if given broadest reasonable interpretation, since

Art Unit: 3733

pseudoelasticity is also referred to has transformation superelasticity, some amount of superelasticity is still present in both Sanders et al and Cool et al as applicant argues.

In regard to Drewry et al, applicant states that Drewry et al is silent as to whether a constant or substantially constant force is disclosed. Again, applicant is directed towards the non-claimed limitation that his argument relies upon, but further is informed that since the tether (80) is effectively a spring, it is an inherent physical property to which springs act with a constant force. Therefore while not explicitly stating a constant force, it is clearly inherent of the device.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Shaffer
Richard Shaffer
January 31st, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER